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BEAULI™ – A New and Easy Method for Large-Volume Fat Grafts

BEAULI™ – eine neue Methode zur einfachen und zuverlässigen Fettpfell-Transplantation

Abstract

After numerous experiments with free-fat transfer since 1893 [1], many promising methods and results of large-volume fat grafts have been published recently [2–6]. A common disadvantage was the time of the procedure and a lack of proof of efficiency. In 2007 we developed with the BEAULI™ method (Berlin autologous lipotransplantation) a new and reliable procedure to collect larger amounts of transplantable fatty tissue. It was evaluated in a prospective clinical study with 85 patients in 2 centres in Germany, the overall number of transplantations amounting to 216 treated breasts. Indications were general lack of breast volume, either genuine or acquired in the course of surgical procedures. The fat was harvested with the BEAULI™ method, which consists in general of the harvest of very small fat particles by means of water-assisted liposuction (body-jet®, human med AG, Germany) and reinjection of the fat after separation from superfluous water by means of the Lipocollector®. All procedures were performed in a standardised pattern, measurements were taken preoperatively, at day 1 postop, after 1 week, 4 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and then to be continued yearly. An MRI of the breasts was taken preop and 6 months postoperatively, the longest follow-up is 30 months. Operation time was (on average) 1.5 h. In every case a definite increase of the volume of the fatty layer in the treated areas was observed. We saw no macroscopic oily cysts, in 2 cases there were 3 months after the operation some palpable subcutaneous nodules which proved to be granulomas. The volume control of 35 aesthetic patients by means of BrainLab™ Software and MRI could verify a permanent take rate of 76±11% of the grafted fat. In aesthetic patients generally 2 (80%) fat-grafting procedures with an average gain in volume of 1/2 bra cup size or 100–150 mL) per procedure were re-

Zusammenfassung

quired. After implant removal, satisfaction was usually reached after only a single procedure, for complete reconstruction after cancer surgery 4–5 grafting sessions were necessary. An extension of the skin envelope as well as improvement of existing scars were observed.

Introduction

100 years have elapsed since the first published description of an adipose tissue transplantation by Neuber [1] and of a partial breast reconstruction through injection of autologous adipose tissue by Holländer [2]. Following a series of publications during the pre-World War II period [3–6] the topic receded almost to being forgotten. An outstanding exception in the subsequent years is the study by Peer [7]. Only after liposuction was introduced by Fischer and in particular by Ilouz [8] did adipose tissue transplantation come into vogue [9–12]. However, in 1987 an ASRPS “Ad Hoc Committee on New Procedures” rejected fat transplantation in the breast without a basis of evidence [13]. This position has only recently been revised [14].

There was a strong upswing in scientific investigation of the subject with the publication of research by von Chajchir [15, 16], Coleman [17–19], Delay [20, 21], Rigotti [22, 23]. More recently, numerous groups of researchers in different countries have experimented with autologous fat transplantation in the breast. In Germany, one of such groups is the DGPRAEC [24]. All previous methods have encountered a severe limitation – the duration of the operation itself. The original technique (LipoStructure) used by Coleman [19] in aesthetic bilateral breast enlargement took at least 5–7 h. Other approaches are associated with rather high costs (BRAVA), have sometimes had only a low rate of fat integration, or provide no real proof of an effective integration rate. Another currently discussed question is supplemental tissue grafting with fat stem cells (ADSC) [25]. These cells are isolated from the adipose tissue through enzymatic digestion and mechanically, and later added to the fatty graft tissue. The integration rate is improved through greater angioneogenesis. However, this hypothesis still lacks evidence-based proof. Furthermore, the duration of the fat cell transplantation procedure is considerably lengthened (by at least 2 h) and costs are significantly raised. Its wide clinical use does not currently seem to be feasible.

Whenever a rather large portion of the transplanted fat is discarded because of poor perfusion (tissue clumping), it should be noted that not all the necrotic cells are carried off by macrophages, but undergo a confluence into oil cysts through the lack of contact with well perfused tissue following autolysis of the cell membrane, and then can become calcified, as several publications have described [26].

The objective of this research therefore was to develop a technique for cell-friendly harvesting of fat cell particles which would considerably reduce operation time and concomitantly result in a high integration rate among the transplanted fat cells.

Since 2005 we have been conducting water-jet assisted liposuction (WAL) and made the harvested fat available for fat cell research in the Franz Vollhardt Clinic of Charité Hospital, Berlin. The harvest of vital cells was found comparable to that under the Coleman technique. A vessel for the sterile separation of the adipose tissue from the tumescence solution was developed in 2007 through a series of tests in collaboration with human med AG, Schwerin, which is now being marketed under the name Lipocollector™. The method was termed simply BEAULI (Berlin Autologous Lipotransfer) to enable future international comparison of the different methods on the market.

Selection of Patients

Non-smoking patients with a BMI between 20 and 30 were included. All patients desired improvement in breast size and at the same time refused to undergo a conventional breast augmentation procedure. They received a detailed explanation of the possible risk of formation of oil cysts and calcifications.

Method

First, all patients were measured for height, weight, jugulum-mamilla distance (JMD) and maximum circumference of each breast, measured from the medial to the lateral attachment; standardised photo documentation (mirror image) was added. All data were collected on a specific questionnaire.

Data on the areas suctioned, the amount of graft used, distribution subcutaneously or in the pectoral muscle, and the results of centrifugation were recorded in a separate operative protocol. The postoperative data (height, weight, measurement for the JMD, maximum circumference of each breast – measured from the level of the anterior axillary line over the mamilla to the medial attachment – and postoperative pain scale) were recorded on the day following the operation, after 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months.

Liposuction was performed on the day of the operation, preferably from areas of the abdomen and flanks and on the outside and inside of the thighs. The area for harvesting was selected according to the wishes of the patients. The area to be suctioned was first infiltrated with the body-jet® using a Klein standard tumescence solution (composition: 500 mg lidocaine, 1 mg adrenaline and 12.5 ml sodium bicarbonate 8.4% in a 1 l solution) [27] and liposuction was started without a waiting period. Infiltration and liposuction were performed with the same solution. The solution was warmed to 35°C to prevent excessively rapid
cooling and consequent damage to the fat cells. Furthermore, it is more pleasant for subjects if several litres of cold solution are not also chilling their bodies.

The liposuction procedure involved the application of a pulsing water jet on the body-jet® device – with the setting at Level 1 – and constant irrigation with the tumescent solution and negative pressure, which was limited to ~0.5 bar. The pressure limitation was selected after pilot studies following centrifugation of the aspirate indicated that the proportion of clear oil increased in step with a rise in suction pressure. Suction pressure less than ~0.5 bar led to frequent blockage of the suction channel and much less efficiency in fat harvesting. The cannula used was the 3.8 mm body-jet® Rapid cannula with effective suction apertures of 0.9 mm. These narrow apertures enable harvesting of equally small fat cell structures which also integrate well.

The same step included the separation of the fat in the LipoCollector™ from the aqueous elements (Fig. 1) so that the amount of residual fluid in the mixture was equivalent to that after 10 min decanting. The LipoCollector™ basically consists of a prefilter to remove fibrous elements which could choke the thin injection cannulas. The fat flushed out by continual irrigation is separated from the excess fluid by means of a sieve in the LipoCollector. The fluid is then suctioned off and the separated washed fat remains sterile on the operating table for later use. An average of 60 min was needed (including prefiltration) to harvest 600 ml of transplantable clear adipose tissue.

The harvested fat was then suctioned from the container with the BEAULI™ extraction cannula and 50 cm³ syringes. These are left upright for 10 min in a stand on the table and fluid settling at the base is removed in 10 cm³ syringes before transfer. Direct reinjection from the 10 cm³ syringes utilises BEAULI injection cannulas. Reinjection is made via a small, approx. 5 cm, laterocaudal stab incision of the breast. All segments of the breast are accessible from the incision because of the length of the cannula. Fat infiltration is performed exclusively in the area of the subcutaneous adipose tissue and the pectoral muscle. The adipose tissue is usually distributed so that about two-thirds is placed in the area of subcutaneous fat and about one-third intraperatorically. The pectoral muscle was probed by palpating bluntly against the nearest rib with the cannula and then performing the injection directly above the ribs while maintaining constant contact with them.

Care was taken during distribution of the fat to ensure that the tissue was not subjected to excessive tension, to avoid application of additional pressure around the fat cells. The individually grafted (net) fat amount ranged between 120 cm³ and 292.5 cm³ with a mean of 184.4 cm³.

To calculate the exact volume obtained through fat transplantation, two 10 cm³ syringes from the graft series were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 min. The readable proportions of free oil and clear fluid were then recorded in the operative protocol (Fig. 2). The proportion of clear grafted fat (= net fat percentage) was used as the basis for volume assessment.

The postoperative follow-up involved the administration of an oral antibiotic for 3 days. A cotton pad was applied for 2 days to avoid pressure on the breast and to cool the tissue. A compression brace was placed on the graft harvesting areas. Wearing of a compression bra and avoidance of physical exertion were not necessary.

Results

Between 1 October, 2007 and 31 March, 2010 a total of 85 patients were treated with autologous fat grafts for breast augmentation with this method (Table 1). The indications were a desire for aesthetic enlargement, reconstruction after partial or total mastectomy, or capsular fibrosis after silicone implants in combination with refusal of implants. 36 patients who had undergone aesthetic augmentation were evaluated for volume on MRI since they presented too many individual factors after implant removal or reconstruction. Analysis of the clinical follow-up record gave the following results: mean operation time (incision-to-suturing): 92 min with a significantly declining trend. Currently, the operation time stands at approximately 1 h.

The postoperative course of the jugulum-mamilla distance is shown in Table 2. The mean increase is about 1 cm or 7% (Table 2). Table 3 shows the change in single breast circumference over the assessment period. The mean increase is about 1.7 cm or 9.2% (Table 3).

The development of breast circumference over an assessment period of 6 months is shown in Fig. 3. It appears that measurement of the particular circumference of each breast from the anterior axillary line to the medial attachment over the...
largest circumference does not in fact represent an exact measuring criterion, but overall it gives a good indicator of the resorption behaviour of the fat. Similar development can be seen in the jugulum-mamilla distance (Fig. 4). The measurements correlate with the clinical observation that no further volume loss occurs after postoperative month 3. We are therefore able to provide future patients with more precise information on the course to be expected.

The course of pain sensitivity over the assessment period is shown in Table 4. Significant haematoma formation in the breast area was not found. Pain was predominantly localised to the graft harvesting area.

The mean content of pure fat obtained after centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 3 min stayed very constant at 68% (range 60–72%).

### Volumetric Assessment

Regarding the MRI assessment of volume, we refer to the article by Herold in the same journal [28]. MRI seems to be the currently superior method for the provision of real-time proof of structural changes, cost benefit, and precision of results even though calculations of volume are also possible by photographic methods [29].

The examinations were performed with T1 weighting in the breast coil. The first examination was preoperative (Fig. 5a), the second came 6 months after the grafting procedure (Fig. 5b). A comparison of 72 MRI scans performed at least 6 months after the intervention showed a mean adipose tissue integration rate of 76±11%.

Therefore, the mean net increase in breast volume was equivalent to approximately 50% of the injected gross volume (68% fat content×76% integration rate =50%). This represents an actual mean gain in volume of 100–120 ml from each graft procedure.

### Discussion

In the 100 plus years that have elapsed since the first published description of a free adipose tissue graft in the breast and in many years of post-procedure observation [17,20,30,31] no significant negative effects, especially possible cancer occurrences, have been reported [32]. Ever since the basic possibility of performing adipose tissue transplantation has stopped being challenged, the foremost question has been that of completing the intervention within an acceptable period of time. Important factors for success include a tissue-friendly procedure, a low suction level, and the distribution of the smallest adipose tissue particles in a well perfused recipient site [1,19,33]. The LipoStructure™ method pioneered by Coleman meets these requirements, but is very time-consuming when the amount of grafting to be done is large.

We have shown that with the water-jet assisted Liposuction (WAL) all these requirements can be met – and this in a much shorter time.

1. Through continual irrigation with water liposuction becomes possible with very small apertures (0.9 ml/millimetre) and low suction pressure (0.5 bar).
2. Centrifugation of the harvested fat can be omitted since the mean residue of 30% fluid permits easy reinjection with 10 cm³ syringes and leads to a uniform distribution of the fat in the tissue.
3. The mean duration of the intervention at 92 min for a bilateral breast enlargement is not significantly longer than the

---

**Table 1** Patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>MRI 6 months</th>
<th>MRI 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>reconstruction</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aesthetics</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capsular fibrosis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Change in jugulum-mamilla distance over the assessment period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of measurement</th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Day 1 postop.</th>
<th>Week 1 postop.</th>
<th>Week 4 postop.</th>
<th>Month 3 postop.</th>
<th>Month 6 postop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>distance (cm)</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>21.72</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>20.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Change in single breast circumference over the assessment period (mean, in cm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of measurement</th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Day 1 postop.</th>
<th>Week 1 postop.</th>
<th>Week 4 postop.</th>
<th>Month 3 postop.</th>
<th>Month 6 postop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>distance (cm)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3** Development of breast circumference over 6 months.

**Fig. 4** Mean development of the jugulum-mamilla distance.
time for conventional enlargements with implants. In this case, the liposuction associated with the intervention must be considered a separate procedure.

Nearly all interventions were performed on an outpatient basis and under local anaesthesia with sedation (remifentanil). In the postoperative course only minor symptoms in the breast area were reported; in individual cases there was some significant haematoma formation. The intervention was so low in stress for the patients that most (over 80%) decided on a second fat cell transplantation. The very simple measuring method for the breast circumference and the jugulum-mamilla distance is indeed inexact in individual cases and subject to fluctuation according to researcher, but a comparison of mean values clearly demonstrates significant trends over the postoperative course which correlate well with the clinical picture and permit us to take a position on the postoperative outcome. Further resorption beyond month 3 clearly did not occur. Infections were not observed at all; 2 patients presented subcutaneous granulomas (histologically confirmed), which did not require any special treatment. Macroscopically detectable oil cysts were not observed on the MRI, and palpation explorations were unremarkable except in 2 patients with subcutaneous granuloma formation (histologically confirmed).

Since the thickness of subcutaneous adipose tissue is individually very variable and often hardly detectable in patients with very small breasts, only a small volume amount could be introduced at the first augmentation (approx. 200–250 ml gross incl. residual fluid from the tumescence solution). We basically decided therefore to implant fat cells in the subcutaneous and intra-muscular areas exclusively. This was based on 2 fundamental considerations:

1. Even in tissue-friendly grafting of very small particles the formation of oil cysts or calcifications cannot be definitively excluded. With the use of (digital) mammography or MRI such changes can now be easily distinguished from malignant changes. This distinction is also further enabled if the aetiology can be traced on the basis of the tissue layer in which they are detected.

2. In terms of survival – quod vitam – 1 woman in 10 today is faced with the risk of developing breast cancer. Since we all possess a strong tendency to create associations (even those non-existent medically), we should assume that in the case of patients treated with autologous fat some will develop breast cancer in later life. This originates, however, in the glands and therefore outside the subcutaneous adipose tissue. It seems safer, especially in the case of a new method, to cut off at the outset any false conclusions relating to a prior operative intervention. Furthermore, autologous fat transplantation after breast cancer is more acceptable than in the case of a primary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of measurement</th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Day 1 postop.</th>
<th>Week 1 postop.</th>
<th>Week 4 postop.</th>
<th>Month 3 postop.</th>
<th>Month 6 postop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>analogue scale</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 5](image_url) | a, b MRI before and 6 months after lipotransfer of 180 ml (right) and 210 ml (left) (gross amounts).

![Fig. 6](image_url) | Situation 1 year after the last intervention with a 2-stage graft of 220 and 240 ml gross (150 and 165 ml net) respectively in the space of 6 months.
augmentation. In our current state of data, an increased risk of cancer through fat transplantation is not supported [32].

3. The pectoral muscle is much more porous in structure and easily absorbs 50 ml adipose tissue in a session. It also enables a better projection. Intramuscular distribution of the fat immediately above the ribs is achievable via the lateral caudal incision with use of the long injection cannula.

In the case of augmentation with an aesthetic indication only, usually 2 grafts (85% of subjects) and in only a few cases 3 interventions were desired. Since a net mean volume increase per graft of 125 ml (1/2 cup size) is attainable, the volume increase obtained matches that of an implant. 1 patient with a very small breast desired a third graft (Fig. 6).

It was clear from the outset that a satisfactory result would not be attainable with a single graft in patients seeking breast reconstruction after mastectomy since the existing layer of tissue was very small (1–3 cm) to act as a receiving site. In this case, 3–5 grafts were planned and performed (Fig. 7). The repeat interventions were also well tolerated since these interventions are associated with very little morbidity.

In the case of a second or further augmentation a proportionately greater amount of graft material could be implanted because of the now-existing expansion of the receiving site. We will report on this topic in a separate publication.

The measurements of breast circumference gave a good idea of the course of the postoperative development. The greatest swelling occurred after 1 week, with relatively rapid regression by week 4. After 3 months no further changes were observed. The method is best suited for those patients who are primarily seeking a moderate increase in breast size, but for whom liposuction has its own importance. For patients with a BMI under 18 the method is unsuitable, and likewise if a subject desires the greatest possible size from 1 operation (“from A to C”). The potential of the method is very promising in cases of definitive implant removal after capsular formation, total or partial reconstruction after mastectomy, or in general corrections of volume deficits; it opens up new horizons in plastic surgery.
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Fig. 7  Breast reconstruction with 4 grafts 6 months after the last intervention.
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